

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,770	05/24/2007	Gloria Astrid Limb	GJE-1057	7263	
23557 SALIWANCH	7590 11/25/200 TK LLOYD & SALIW.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Box 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614			SCHUBERO	SCHUBERG, LAURA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	1657			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/25/2009	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

euspto@slspatents.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,770	LIMB ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura Schuberg	1657	

Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	l		
		Laura Schuberg	1657	I		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MCNI115 from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MCNI115 from the mailing date of this communication, by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised above, the maximum statutory period was precised above. The maximum statutory period was precised by the Coffice later than there is morths after the mailing of patient term adjustment, See 37° CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).			
	Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage		
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		.d			
Ì	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen	• •	4) Interview Summary	(BTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			

 Notice of Informal Patent Application
 Other: _____. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S3/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a method for the production of retinal cells including culturing Muller cells in the presence of matrix protein and growth factor and composition produced.

Group II, claim(s) 8-13, drawn to a method for the treatment of a condition associated with cell loss or cell damage comprising administering retinal cells that have been dedifferentiated from Muller cells.

Group III, claim(s) 14, drawn to a method for repairing a damaged eye comprising administering a composition comprising a matrix protein and growth factors.

Group IV, claim(s) 15, drawn to a structure for grafting to a patient comprising layers of matrix and retinal neurons.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature that the groups have in common is the combination of a matrix protein and cells from the eye which is known in the art as described by Isseroff et al. (US 2002/0039788, page 2 paragraphs 14 and 19).

Application/Control Number: 10/580,770

Art Unit: 1657

The expression "special technical feature" refers to those features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Thus, a feature found in the prior art cannot be considered to be a special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,770

Art Unit: 1657

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be reioined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura Schuberg whose telephone number is (571)272-3347. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/580,770 Page 5

Art Unit: 1657

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura Schuberg Examiner Art Unit 1657

/Laura Schuberg/